



Arboricultural Constraints Appraisal

in Relation to Proposed Leisure Development at



**Land at Bourbles Lane, Pilling,
Lancashire, FY6 0PE**

Prepared by:

Bowland 
Tree Consultancy Ltd

February 2021

ARBORICULTURAL CONSTRAINTS APPRAISAL LAND AT BOURBLES LANE, PILLING

CONTENTS

1. TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE & BS5837: 2012 TABLE 1
2. TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE FENCING SPECIFICATION
3. TREE CONSTRAINTS PLAN



Contact Details

Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd
Unit Two
First Floor
11 Cannon Street
Preston
Lancashire
PR1 3NR

T: 01772 437150
E: info@bowlandtreeconsultancy.co.uk

ARBORICULTURAL CONSTRAINTS APPRAISAL LAND AT BOURBLES LANE, PILLING

Project Details

Project No.: BTC2147

Site: Land at Bourbles Lane, Pilling, Lancashire, FY6 0PE

Agent: CFM Consultants

Council: Wyre Borough Council

Survey Date: 24 September 2019

Review Date: 27 January 2021

Surveyed by: Elizabeth Thompson BSc(Hons) TechArborA

Reviewed by: Jacob Croasdale FdSc

Prepared by: Jacob Croasdale FdSc

Checked by: Phill Harris MSc BSc(Hons) HND MArborA CEnv MICFor

Date of Issue: 3 March 2021

Version No: 1

DISCLAIMER

Survey Limitations: Unless otherwise stated all trees are surveyed from ground level using non-invasive techniques. The disclosure of hidden crown and stem defects, in particular where they may be above a reachable height or where trees are ivy clad or in areas of ground vegetation, cannot therefore be expected. All obvious defects, however, are reported. Detailed tree safety appraisals are only carried out under specific written instructions. Comments upon evident tree safety relate to the condition of said tree at the time of the survey only.

Unless otherwise stated all trees should be re-inspected annually in order to appraise their on-going mechanical integrity and physiological condition. It should, however, be recognised that tree condition is subject to change, for example due to the effects of disease, decay, high winds, development works, etc. Changes in land use or site conditions (e.g. development that increases access frequency) and the occurrence of severe weather incidents are also significant considerations with regards tree structural integrity and trees should therefore be re-assessed in the context of such changes and/or incidents and inspected at intervals relative to identified and varying site conditions and associated risks.

Where trees are located wholly or partially on neighbouring private third-party land then said land is not accessed and our inspection is therefore restricted to what can reasonably be seen from within the site. Stem diameters of trees located on such land are estimated. Any subsequent comments and judgments made in respect of such trees are based on these restrictions and are our preliminary opinion only. Recommendations for works to neighbouring third-party trees are only made where a potentially unacceptable risk to persons and/or property has been identified during our survey. Where significant structural defects of third-party trees are identified and associated management works are considered essential to negate any risk of harm and/or damage then we will first attempt to inform the site occupier of the issues and, if not possible, then inform the relevant Council. Where a more detailed assessment is considered necessary then appropriate recommendations are set out in the Tree Survey Schedule.

Where tree stem locations are not included on the plan(s) provided then they are plotted at the time of the survey using, where appropriate and/or practicable, a combination of measurement triangulation and GPS co-ordination. Where this is not possible then locations are estimated. Restrictions in these respects are detailed in the report.

The tree survey and any report information provided is intended as a guide to identify key tree related constraints to site development only. As such, the potential influence of trees upon existing or proposed buildings or other structures resulting from the effects of their roots abstracting water from shrinkable load-bearing soils is not considered herein. The tree survey information in its current form should not therefore be considered sufficient to determine appropriate foundation depths for new buildings. Accordingly, an updated survey, with reference to the current NHBC Standards Chapter 4.2 - Building Near Trees, must therefore be prepared for the specific purpose of informing suitable foundation depths subsequent to planning approval being granted. The advice of a structural engineer must also be sought with regard to appropriate foundation depths for new buildings.

Copyright & Non-Disclosure Notice: The content and layout of this report are subject to copyright owned by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd, save to the extent that copyright has been legally assigned to us by another party or is used by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd under license. This report may not be copied or used without our prior written agreement for any purpose other than those indicated.

Third Parties: Any disclosure of this document to a third party is subject to this disclaimer. The report was prepared by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd at the instruction of and for use by our client, as named. This report does not in any way constitute advice to any third party who is able to access it by any means. Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd excludes to the fullest extent lawfully permitted all liability whatsoever for any loss or damage arising from reliance on the contents of this report.

Statutory Tree Protection: It is the client's responsibility to check for the presence of any statutory tree protection measures, such as the site's location within a Conservation Area and/or the presence of any Tree Preservation Orders, directly with the applicable Council's planning department prior to scheduling or carrying out any tree works. In turn, it is also the client's responsibility to check for the need for a felling licence with the Forestry Commission prior to scheduling or carrying out any tree works. Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd cannot be held responsible for any decisions made by the client to prune or remove trees where any such statutory protection exists.

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR ARBORICULTURAL CONSTRAINTS APPRAISAL
Site: Land at Bourbles Lane, Pilling, Lancashire, FY6 0PE
Agent for Client: CFM Consultants

Surveyors: Elizabeth Thompson BSc(Hons) TechArborA & Jacob Croasdale FdSc
Survey Dates: 24 September 2019 & 27 January 2021
Job Reference: BTC2147

Page: 1 of 4

No.	Species	Height	Stem Diam.	Branch Spread	Branch & Canopy Clearances	Life Stage	PC	General Observations and Comments	Management Recommendations	ERC	Cat. Grade	RPA (m ²)	RPA Radius (m)
T1	Common Alder	9.5	1x240 1x230 1x190 1x150 (ms)	N E S W	4 5 3.5 3.5	2.5-E 0	SM G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Arises into multiple primary branches from ground level. Some evident pruning wounds to south to allow vehicular access. Two partially occluded pruning wounds approximately 80mm in length and 20mm wide on the base of stem. 	▪	20+	C1	76	4.93
T2	Sycamore	8	1x240 2x200 2x160 (ms)	N E S W	3 4 3 2	N/A 2	EM G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Arises into multiple primary branches at an approximate height of 0.5 metres. Located within H3 on grass verge to the east of road. Evidently pruned on its west side to allow vehicular access. 	▪	20+	C1	85	5.21
T3	Common Horse Chestnut	9	1x250 2x230 2x190 (ms)	N E S W	5 5.5 5 5.5	0.5-W 0	M M	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Located on road corner outside ownership boundary and in close proximity to duck pen fence. Stem arises into multiple branches at an approximate height of 0.5m. Evident pruning cuts to north for vehicular access with a significant amount of these partially occluded. Significant number of deadwood at the base of tree up to 60mm in diameter. 	▪	10+	C1	109	5.88
T4	Sycamore	9	1x240 1x210 1x180 1x150 (ms)	N E S W	4 4.5 4.5 4.5	0 2	SM G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Located on verge outside the ownership boundary and in close proximity to wall at front of farmhouse. Stem arises into multiple primary branches at ground level. Tarmac product piled against stem to south-east to an approximate height of 0.6m. Minor pruning cuts to the south for vehicular access. 	▪	20+	C1	71	4.75
T5	Sycamore	11	650#	N E S W	1 2 2 3	N/A 5	M P	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Located on neighbouring land and subsequently not inspected in detail. Large tear on north west side and therefore canopy pretty much non-existent on these sides. Five pruning cuts approximately 250mm in diameter on the main stem with the highest at roughly 4m. 	▪	<10	U	191	7.8
T6	Mountain Ash	5	120	N E S W	1 2 2 1	N/A 2	Y G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Located between boundary fence and neighbouring fence. Ownership unclear. 	▪ Client to establish ownership of tree.	10+	C1	7	1.44

Headings and Abbreviations:

No.	Allocated sequential reference number - Tree ('T'), Group ('G'), Woodland ('W') or Hedge ('H') reference number - refer to plan and to numbered tags where applicable
Species:	Common name
Height:	In metres, to half nearest metre - where possible approximately 80% are measured using an electronic clinometer and the remainder estimated against the measured trees. In the case of Groups and Woodlands the measurement listed is that of the highest tree
Stem Diam.:	Stem diameter in millimetres, to nearest 10mm - measured and calculated as per Annex C of BS5837:2012. MS = multi-stemmed, TS = twin-stemmed
Branch Spread:	Crown radius measured (or estimated where considered appropriate) from the four cardinal points (north, east, south and west) to give an accurate visual representation of the crown
Branch & Canopy Clearances:	Existing height above ground level, in metres, of first significant branch and direction of growth (e.g. 2.5-N) and of canopy at lowest point - to inform on crown to height ratio, potential for shading, etc.
Life Stage:	Estimated age class - Y = young, SM = semi-mature, EM = early-mature, M = mature, PM = post-mature
PC:	Physiological Condition - a measure of the tree('s') overall vitality, i.e. D = Dead, MD = Moribund, P = Poor, M = Moderate, G = Good
General Observations and Comments:	Comments relating to the tree('s') overall condition and any other pertinent factors including structural defects, current and potential direct structural damage, physiological decline, poor form, etc.
Management Recommendations:	Either Preliminary or In Consideration of the Proposal - In the case of Arboricultural Constraints Surveys the recommended management works only take existing site and tree circumstances and conditions into account and not proposed developments. Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Method Statement related Surveys take the proposed development into consideration with recommendations made accordingly. More than one option may be given if considered appropriate
ERC:	Estimated Remaining Contribution - in years as per BS5837:2012 (i.e. <10, 10+, 20+, 40+)
Cat. Grade:	Category Grading - tree retention value listed as U, A, B or C - in accordance with BS5837:2012 Table 1
RPA m²:	Root Protection Area in m ² - calculated area around the tree that must be appropriately protected throughout the development process in order avoid root damage
RPA Radius (m):	Root Protection Area Radius - in metres measured from the centre of the stem to the line of tree protection
# (Estimated Dimensions):	Where trees are located off-site, or are inaccessible for any other reason, and accurate measurements or other information cannot be taken then the information provided is estimated and is duly suffixed with a "#"

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR ARBORICULTURAL CONSTRAINTS APPRAISAL
Site: Land at Bourbles Lane, Pilling, Lancashire, FY6 0PE
Agent for Client: CFM Consultants

Surveyors: Elizabeth Thompson BSc(Hons) TechArborA & Jacob Croasdale FdSc
Survey Dates: 24 September 2019 & 27 January 2021
Job Reference: BTC2147

No.	Species	Height	Stem Diam.	Branch Spread	Branch & Canopy Clearances	Life Stage	PC	General Observations and Comments	Management Recommendations	ERC	Cat. Grade	RPA (m²)	RPA Radius (m)
G1	Approx 25no. Crack Willow, 2no. Goat Willow, 1no. Hawthorn, Bramble, Privet	≤ 8	≤ 1x290 1x260 1x220 1x200 1x100 (ms)#	N ≤ 5 E ≤ 5 S ≤ 5 W ≤ 5	N/A ≥ 0	Y-M	G-P	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Closely to loosely spaced group growing on bank of western pond. ▪ Stems of trees located within pond and subsequently not accessible. ▪ All Crack Willows are evidently regularly coppiced or pollarded between ground level and 5m high. ▪ Numerous trees have been removed and their stumps left to naturally regenerate through epicormic growth. ▪ Some trees on the northern boundary display a severe stem lean south, while those on the western boundary show a significant stem lean east. 	▪	20+	C1	≤ 113	≤ 6
G2	Approx 11no. Crack Willow, 8no. Goat Willow, Bramble	≤ 10	≤ 7x200 (ms#)	N ≤ 6 E ≤ 6 S ≤ 5 W ≤ 5	N/A ≥ 0	Y-M	G-D	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Close to moderately spaced group growing on bank of eastern pond. ▪ Stems of trees are located within pond and subsequently not accessible. ▪ One Goat Willow is dead and has failed into pond. ▪ Numerous trees display stem leans over the pond. ▪ All Crack Willows are evidently regularly coppiced or pollarded between ground level and 5m high. ▪ Crack Willows on western edge of pond have been previously felled to ground level and left to naturally regenerate with stem diameters of approximately 40mm and heights no more than 3m. ▪ Willows between the eastern edge and neighbouring G3 have been pruned back for vehicular access. 	▪	20+	C1	≤ 127	≤ 6.35
G3	15no. Alder, 8no. Sycamore, 5no. Elder, 5no. Goat Willow, 4no. Rowan, 4no. Wild Cherry, 2no. Birch	≤ 13	≤ 1x300 1x270 2x230 1x210 (ms)	N ≤ 5 E ≤ 5 S ≤ 5 W ≤ 5	N/A ≥ 0	Y-M	G-D	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Closely spaced group with natural regeneration and scrub mixed between. ▪ Alders to south east have partially occluded pruning wounds approximately 100mm in diameter. ▪ Rowan to south has large open cavity approximately 200mm long and 10mm wide at its base, while another has been heavily pruned and appears to be in decline. ▪ One Birch has snapped at the stem. 	▪	20+	C1	≤ 141	≤ 6.71
G4	1no. Alder 2no. Goat Willow	≤ 8	≤ 1x330 1x300 1x230 1x200 1x180 (ms)	N ≤ 4 E ≤ 4 S ≤ 4 W ≤ 4	N/A ≥ 0	Y-M	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Closely spaced group on bank of southern pond. ▪ Goat Willow located within pond and subsequently not inspected in detail. ▪ Alder has minor amount of deadwood up to approximately 50mm in diameter. ▪ All trees have sustained mechanical pruning to the south and east to allow vehicular access. 	▪	20+	C1	≤ 147	≤ 6.83

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR ARBORICULTURAL CONSTRAINTS APPRAISAL
Site: Land at Bourbles Lane, Pilling, Lancashire, FY6 0PE
Agent for Client: CFM Consultants

Surveyors: Elizabeth Thompson BSc(Hons) TechArborA & Jacob Croasdale FdSc
Survey Dates: 24 September 2019 & 27 January 2021
Job Reference: BTC2147

No.	Species	Height	Stem Diam.	Branch Spread	Branch & Canopy Clearances	Life Stage	PC	General Observations and Comments	Management Recommendations	ERC	Cat. Grade	RPA (m ²)	RPA Radius (m)
G5	6no. Sycamore, 3no. Ash, 3no. Hawthorn, 2no. Holly, 2no. Silver Birch, 2no. Horse Chestnut, 1no. Alder, 1no. Crack Willow, 1no. Wild Cherry, 1no. Apple, 1no. Larch, 1no. Oak	≤ 13	≤ 1100#	N ≤ 5 E ≤ 5 S ≤ 5 W ≤ 5	N/A ≥ 0	Y-M	G-P	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Close to moderately spaced linear group on neighbouring land and subsequently not inspected in detail. Northern section of group located in rear garden of residential property with southern section growing to west of a drainage ditch. Stem base of Alder in contact with fence and causing displacement. Mature Crack Willow has been heavily pruned and canopy encroaches onto site approximately 2 meters. Southern section of group has evident pruning cuts on the site side to allow tractor access to the field. 	▪	20+	C1	≤ 547	≤ 13.2
G6	2no. Common Beech, 2no. Sycamore, 1no. Hazel, 1no. Eucalyptus	≤ 12	≤ 700#	N ≤ 5 E ≤ 5 S ≤ 5 W ≤ 5	N/A ≥ 0	Y-M	G-P	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Located on neighbouring land and subsequently not inspected in detail. One Sycamore has evident pruning to the lower stem approximately 120mm in diameter and a previously snapped branch within the canopy that has been partially occluded. 	▪	10+	C1	≤ 222	≤ 8.4
G7	Approx. 6no. Crack Willow, Hawthorn	≤ 13	≤ 1000#	N ≤ 6 E ≤ 6 S ≤ 6 W ≤ 6	0 ≥ 0	Y-M	M-P	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Closely spaced Willows to south with loosely spaced Hawthorn and Willow that run north along the length of extended boundary. Group located within drainage ditch. Surveyed at a distance from road to south and subsequently not assessed in much detail. Three Willows display moderate stem leans east. One Willow evidently snapped at stem. Root Protection Area (RPA) of Willows have been offset to the north due to hard surfaced road to the south. 	▪	10+	C1	≤ 452	≤ 12
H1	Hawthorn, Alder, Fir, Elder	≤ 7	≤ 150#	≤ 5 wide	N/A ≥ 0	Y	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Linear hedge of Hawthorn on site side, and Fir and Alder over boundary on neighbouring land and therefore not inspected in detail. Southern and eastern sections are made up of Hawthorn only with occasional Elder. Managed on site side to allow for vehicular access to ponds. 	▪	20+	C1	≤ 3	≤ 0.96
H2	Hawthorn, Elder	≤ 5	≤ 2x100 (ms)	≤ 3.5 wide	N/A ≥ 0	Y	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relatively unmanaged hedge on opposite side of drainage ditch. Located on neighbouring land and subsequently not inspected in detail. Predominantly Hawthorn with occasional Elder. Section furthest to the west grows between wood frame and wire fences. <ownership 	▪	20+	C1	≤ 9	≤ 1.7
H3	Hawthorn	≤ 3	≤ 5x60 (ms)	≤ 2.5 wide	N/A ≥ 0	Y	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Managed hedge to the east of access road. Growing on neighbouring land and subsequently not inspected in detail. 	▪	20+	C1	≤ 5	≤ 1.2

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR ARBORICULTURAL CONSTRAINTS APPRAISAL
Site: Land at Bourbles Lane, Pilling, Lancashire, FY6 0PE
Agent for Client: CFM Consultants

Surveyors: Elizabeth Thompson <small>BSc(Hons) TechArborA</small> & Jacob Croasdale <small>FdSc</small>
Survey Dates: 24 September 2019 & 27 January 2021
Job Reference: BTC2147

No.	Species	Height	Stem Diam.	Branch Spread	Branch & Canopy Clearances	Life Stage	PC	General Observations and Comments	Management Recommendations	ERC	Cat. Grade	RPA (m ²)	RPA Radius (m)
H4	Beech, Leyland Cypress	≤ 3	≤ 5x60 (ms)#	≤ 2.5 wide	N/A ≥ 0	Y	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Managed hedge on access road frontage. ▪ Growing on neighbouring land and subsequently not inspected in detail. ▪ Predominantly Beech with Leyland Cypress at each end. 	▪	20+	C1	≤ 5	≤ 1.2
H5	Beech	≤ 2.5	≤ 60	≤ 1.5 wide	N/A 0	SM	G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Managed hedge on road frontage. ▪ Runs the length of the extended southern boundary. 	▪	10+	C1	≤ 2	≤ 0.72

BS5837:2012 Table 1 – Cascade Chart for Tree Quality Assessment


Category and definition	Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate)			Identification on plan
Trees unsuitable for retention (see Note)				
<p>Category U</p> <p>Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning) ▪ Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline ▪ Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality <p><i>Note: Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it might be desirable to preserve; see BS5837:2012 paragraph 4.5.7.</i></p>			Red
1. Mainly arboricultural qualities		2. Mainly landscape qualities	3. Mainly cultural values, including conservation	
Trees to be considered for retention				
<p>Category A</p> <p>Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years</p>	<p>Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)</p>	<p>Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features</p>	<p>Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture)</p>	Green
<p>Category B</p> <p>Those of moderate quality and value: those in such a condition as to make a significant contribution. A minimum of 20 years is suggested.</p>	<p>Trees that might be included in the high category, but are downgraded because of impaired condition. Examples include the presence of remediable defects including unsympathetic past management and minor storm damage</p>	<p>Trees present in numbers, usually as groups or woodlands, so they form distinct landscape features which attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals. But which are not, individually, essential components of formal or semi-formal arboricultural features. For example, trees of moderate quality within an avenue that includes better, A category specimens. Or trees which are internal to the site, therefore individually having little visual impact on the wider locality</p>	<p>Trees with clearly identifiable conservation or other cultural benefits</p>	Blue
<p>Category C</p> <p>Those trees of low quality and value: currently in adequate condition to remain until new planting could be established - a minimum of 10 years is suggested - or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm</p>	<p>Trees not qualifying in higher categories</p>	<p>Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater landscape value, and/or trees offering low or only temporary screening benefit</p>	<p>Trees with very limited conservation or other cultural benefits</p>	Grey
	<p>Note – Whilst C category trees will usually not be retained where they would impose a significant constraint on development, young trees with a stem diameter of less than 150mm should be considered for relocation</p>			

- TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE FENCING & GROUND PROTECTION SPECIFICATION -

Construction Exclusion Zones (CEZs), shall be enclosed by **Temporary Protective Fencing** and/or, where necessary, **Temporary Ground Protection Measures**. The fencing/ground protection Type(s), locations, and extents shall be agreed, in writing, with the Local Planning Authority (LPA). In turn, the **Temporary Protective Fencing** and/or **Temporary Ground Protection Measures** shall:

1. be constructed as in accordance with the Type 1, Type 2 or Type 3 'Temporary Protective Fencing Construction' sections and, where applicable the 'Temporary Ground Protection Measures' section, as detailed herein and agreed, in advance with the LPA;
2. be retained in place throughout the development process until completion of the project, and only removed following receipt of written permission from the LPA;
3. be sited in the area(s) defined by the Root Protection Areas on the associated Tree Impact Plan, or as the CEZs on the Tree Protection Plan;
4. be erected prior to any construction, demolition or excavation works and remain in place for the duration of the project;
5. preclude any delivery of site accommodation and/or materials and/or plant machinery;
6. preclude all construction related activity, with the sole exception of specified arboricultural works and any other works to be carried out under supervision that have been agreed by all parties;
7. preclude the storage of all development related materials and substances including fuels, oils, additives, cement and/or any other deleterious substance; and
8. be affixed with a 600mm x 300mm warning sign reading "TREE PROTECTION AREA KEEP OUT" (see Figure 1, below), at every 10.0 metre length of protective fencing.
9. Important: Any incursion into CEZs must be by prior arrangement, following consultation with the LPA.


Figure 1: CEZ Warning Sign



Type 1 (i.e. 'Default') Temporary Protective Fencing Construction (see Figure 2, below)

1. Temporary protective fencing panels shall be weldmesh "Heras" panels of at least 2.0 metres in height.
2. The panels shall butt together and be securely fixed to a scaffold framework, as per points 3 to 5 of Figure 2, overleaf.
3. The scaffold framework shall comprise of upright poles of at least 3.0 metres in length driven no less than 0.6 metres into the ground at maximum 3.0 metre centres with horizontal and diagonal poles fixed to the uprights, as per points 4 to 5.
4. The two horizontal rail poles shall be attached to the uprights at heights of 0.6 and 1.8 metres with 3 no. clamps to each joint.
5. The diagonal scaffold pole struts be clamped to the top rail of the scaffold framework at a 45° angle and extend back into the CEZ and clamped to a 0.7 metre length of scaffold tube that shall be driven no less than 0.5m into the ground.
6. No fixing shall be made to any tree and all possible precautions shall be taken to prevent damage to tree roots when locating posts.
7. A 600mm x 300mm warning sign reading "TREE PROTECTION AREA KEEP OUT" (see Figure 1) shall be fixed to every 10.0 metre length of protective fencing.
8. On completion of erection, and prior to any demolition or construction works, site preparation, excavation or delivery of plant and materials, the Consulting Arboriculturist or the LPA Tree Officer, as agreed, shall inspect the Temporary Protective Fencing.


Figure 2: BS5837:2012 Default specification for protective barrier



Type 2 Temporary Protective Fencing Construction (see Figure 3(a), below)

1. Temporary protective fencing panels shall be weldmesh "Heras" panels of at least 2.0 metres in height.
2. The panels shall stand on rubber or concrete feet.
3. The panels shall butt together, and be joined together using a minimum of two anti-tamper couplers, installed so that they can only be removed from inside the fence.
4. The distance between the fence couplers shall be at least 1.0 metre, and shall be uniform throughout the fence.
5. The panels shall be supported on the inner side by stabiliser struts, which shall be clamped to the scaffold framework at a 45° angle and extend back into the CEZ and shall be attached to a base plate, which shall be secured to the ground with pins (Figure 3a).
6. No fixing shall be made to any tree and all possible precautions shall be taken to prevent damage to tree roots when locating posts.
7. A 600mm x 300mm warning sign reading "TREE PROTECTION AREA KEEP OUT" (see Figure 1) shall be fixed to every 10.0 metre length of protective fencing.
8. On completion of erection, and prior to any demolition or construction works, site preparation, excavation or delivery of plant and materials, the Consulting Arboriculturist or the LPA Tree Officer, as agreed, shall inspect the Temporary Protective Fencing.


Figure 3(a): Type 2 Fencing (BS5837:2012 above-ground strut stabilising system with ground pins)



Type 3 Temporary Protective Fencing Construction (see Figure 3(b), overleaf)

1. Temporary protective fencing panels shall be weldmesh "Heras" panels of at least 2.0 metres in height.
2. The panels shall stand on rubber or concrete feet.
3. The panels shall butt together, and be joined together using a minimum of two anti-tamper couplers, installed so that they can only be removed from inside the fence.
4. The distance between the fence couplers shall be at least 1.0 metre, and shall be uniform throughout the fence.
5. The panels shall be supported on the inner side by stabiliser struts, which shall be clamped to the scaffold framework at a 45° angle and extend back into the CEZ and shall be attached to a block tray base (Figure 3b).
6. No fixing shall be made to any tree and all possible precautions shall be taken to prevent damage to tree roots when locating posts.
7. A 600mm x 300mm warning sign reading "TREE PROTECTION AREA KEEP OUT" (see Figure 1) shall be fixed to every 10.0 metre length of protective fencing.
8. On completion of erection, and prior to any demolition or construction works, site preparation, excavation or delivery of plant and materials, the Consulting Arboriculturist or the LPA Tree Officer, as agreed, shall inspect the Temporary Protective Fencing.


Figure 3(b): Type 3 Fencing (BS5837:2012 above-ground stabilising system with strut on block tray)




Temporary Ground Protection

1. Any necessary Temporary Ground Protection areas shall conform to Figure 4, below, unless otherwise agreed with the LPA.
2. The Ground Protection Area shall be left undisturbed and covered by a semi-permeable geotextile membrane which shall, in turn, be covered by a compressible layer consisting of a material such as woodchip.
3. Side-butting scaffold boards shall then be fitted to cover the Ground Protection Area.
4. On completion of installation, and prior to any demolition or construction works, site preparation, excavation or delivery of plant and materials, the Consulting Arboriculturist or the LPA Tree Officer, as agreed, shall inspect the Temporary Ground Protection.
5. The Temporary Ground Protection shall remain in place until completion of the project and only removed following receipt of written permission from the LPA.

Figure 4: Temporary Ground Protection – Recommended Construction





KEY

T = Individual Tree
 G = Group of Trees
 W = Woodland
 H = Hedge

Please refer to associated Tree Survey Schedule for specific details in respect of items table

Tree Categories:

Those to be Considered for Retention:

Category 'A'
 TreeGroup/Woodland/Hedge
 Those of a High Quality with an Estimated Remaining Life Expectancy of at Least 40 Years

Category 'B'
 TreeGroup/Woodland/Hedge
 Those of a Moderate Quality with an Estimated Remaining Life Expectancy of at Least 20 Years


Category 'C'
 TreeGroup/Woodland/Hedge
 Those of Low Quality with an Estimated Remaining Life Expectancy of at Least 10 Years or Young Trees

Those Considered Unsuitable for Retention:

Category 'U'
 TreeGroup/Woodland/Hedge
 Those in Such a Condition that they Cannot Realistically be Retained as Living Trees in the Context of the Current Land Use for Longer Than 10 Years

Root Protection Areas (RPAs):

RPA
 Areas of Ground Around Trees that should be Protected Through-out Development Works with Protective Fencing to form a Construction Exclusion Zone - see Appendix Temporary Protective Fencing Specification



Ordnance Survey. (c) Crown Copyright 2018. All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432

Project:
 LAND AT BOURBLES LANE
 PILLING
 LANCASHIRE
 FY6 0PE

Agent for Client:
 CFM CONSULTANTS

Title:
TREE CONSTRAINTS PLAN
 in Relation to Proposed Mineral Extraction Purposes

Scale: 1:1000@A1
Date: February 2021
Drawn by: JC
Checked by: JL

tree consultancy Ltd
 10172 49780

Ref: BTC2147-TCP Rev: