

Technical Appendix F
Noise Assessment

GRINDLE HOUSE,
CHURCH LANE,
CLYST ST MARY,
EXETER, DEVON EX5 1AB
TEL 01392 877770
FAX 01392 874041



ASSESSMENT OF THE POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE IMPACT
FROM
DEEPENING OF WORKINGS AND TIME EXTENSION
AT
TARMAC TRADING LIMITED
LEAPERS WOOD QUARRY
CARNFORTH
LANCASHIRE



Assessment of the Potential Environmental Noise Impact
from
deepening of workings and time extension
at
Tarmac Trading Limited
Leapers Wood Quarry
Carnforth
Lancashire

Report submitted to:-

Heatons
9 The Square
Keyworth
Nottingham
NG12 5JT

Report prepared by:-

K Gough
Managing Director

R Storey
Associate Consultant

Date:

26 January 2024



CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1. INTRODUCTION**
- 2. SITE DESCRIPTION**
- 3. EXISTING SITE NOISE LIMITS AND SCOPING OPINION**
- 4. REVIEW OF SITE NOISE MONITORING**
- 5. CONSIDERATION OF INCREASED DEPTH/BARRIER ATTENUATION**
- 6. CUMULATIVE NOISE IMPACT**
- 7. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Glossary of Acoustic Terms

Appendix B – Site Plans Showing Application Boundary, Phases and Nearest Receptors



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Tarmac Trading Limited proposes deepening the workings at their Leapers Wood Quarry near Carnforth in Lancashire below the currently permitted floor of 38 metres AOD in the extraction void and extending the life of the site to allow for quarrying operations to continue until 31 December 2065 with restoration of the site to be completed by 31 December 2066. The operations will not expand the already permitted working footprint of the site.

The application is for permission to extract the limestone reserves to a depth of minus 37 metres AOD (i.e. an additional depth of 75 metres). The application also allows for the joint working of the boundary between Leapers Wood Quarry and Back Lane Quarry

The intention of this assessment is to establish that the ongoing mineral extraction operations on the site with increasing depth of workings would not be expected to generate noise levels that would exceed the existing site noise limits at the nearest residential properties to the site.

The intention of the application is to seek permission to continue the already permitted operations on the site within the existing operational boundaries, but to a greater depth than the currently permitted 38 metres AOD down to minus 37 metres AOD (i.e. an additional depth of 75 metres).

The routine noise monitoring of Back Lane Quarry has been reviewed to establish that the noise levels from both Back Lane Quarry and Leapers Wood Quarry have been shown to comply with the site noise limit stipulated in the latest planning permission for the site.

The noise criteria in place for the site are in line with current advice from the government contained in the web document '*Planning Practice Guidance*', dated March 2014 and the '*Minerals*' and '*Noise emissions*' elements of the guidance.



The review of the site noise monitoring from Back Lane Quarry has confirmed that the site noise levels have not been shown to have exceeded the site noise limits in around twenty years of monitoring. Records also indicate that there have been not complaints received by the site regarding noise relating to the site.

Consideration of the site plans provided by the operator and the topography of the site in relation to the nearest noise sensitive receptors have been used to demonstrate that site noise levels at the receptors will be no higher than the current levels and the proposals will therefore have no increased impact on the amenity of the area.

The consistent compliance with site noise limits that are in line with current government guidance regarding noise from minerals site and the increasing depth/barrier attenuation afforded by the existing topography demonstrates that the site can continue to be worked within environmentally acceptable noise levels.



1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Tarmac Trading Limited is submitting an application for the deepening of existing quarry operations and an extension of time for the quarrying operations to continue until 31 December 2065, with restoration being completed a year later, by 31 December 2066.
- 1.2 The application boundary is not to increase from the area already permitted under the extant planning permission for the site (ref: 01/03/1185 - original planning permission ref 1/86/760) and therefore the workings will be no closer to the nearest dwellings.
- 1.3 As the operations are to continue in the existing extraction area to a greater depth (a further 75 metres to minus 37 metres AOD from the currently permitted floor of 38 metres AOD), there will be no requirement for soil stripping or bund formation operations that are considered temporary operations (with a higher site noise limit) in Planning Practice Guidance (Minerals).
- 1.4 The mineral extraction operations and processing operations will not change from the current situation.
- 1.5 The access arrangements will remain as for the current operations on site.
- 1.6 It is not proposed to vary the operating hours of the site from those permitted in the current planning permission for the site (ref: 01/03/1185).
- 1.7 Following completion of the mineral extraction works, the void will be restored in accordance with the restoration scheme.
- 1.8 The intention of this assessment is to establish that the noise impact of the continuation of the existing site operations with mineral extraction to a greater depth, would not be expected to generate noise levels at the nearest noise sensitive properties that would exceed the existing site noise limits as required by extant planning permission conditions.



- 1.9 Site noise monitoring data at the nearest residential location where monitoring has been undertaken for Back Lane Quarry has been reviewed to establish the ongoing compliance of noise from both site with the extant site noise limit.
- 1.10 Consideration of the site plans and the topography to explain why there is a potential increase in noise attenuation due to the greater depth of workings has been included in the assessment to demonstrate that site noise levels will not increase.
- 1.11 A glossary of acoustic terms is included as Appendix A. Plans showing the application boundary and the phasing (as well as the nearest noise sensitive receptors/dwellings) are included as Appendix B.



2. SITE DESCRIPTION

- 2.1 Leapers Wood Quarry is in the jurisdiction of Lancaster City Council and Lancashire County Council being situated to the south-east of Carnforth in Lancashire.
- 2.2 The site is bounded to the south by the adjoining Back Lane Quarry operated by Aggregate Industries UK Limited, to the east by woodland, to the north by woodland/agricultural land and to the west by woodland, with the M6 beyond.
- 2.3 Leapers Wood quarry is operated by Tarmac and is immediately adjacent to the site with a common nominal boundary separating the two sites. A concurrent application is being submitted on behalf of Aggregate Industries for the deepening of the workings at Back Lane Quarry to the same depth to allow for joint working of the boundary between the two quarries. This application is considered in the section of this assessment relating to cumulative impact.
- 2.4 Carnforth is located to the west of the site and is the nearest substantial residential area with the nearest dwellings on the edge of the town being around 400 metres to the north-west of the extraction area beyond the M6 motorway on Windermere Road.
- 2.5 There are isolated residential properties located within 1 kilometre of the site.
- 2.6 The nearest residential receptors to the south-west and south-east of the site beyond the workings at Back Lane Quarry include the Hawthorns Caravan Park, Newlands Darn and Wayside at a distance of around 600 metres.
- 2.7 The nearest properties to the north of the site are approximately 200 to 300 metres from the workings adjacent to the access road. These properties are Green Meadow (uninhabited at the time of the assessment) and Raisbeck).
- 2.8 The nearest dwellings to the north-east on the outskirts of Over Kellett which include Kirk House, are around 500 to 550 metres from the closest point of the site boundary.



- 2.9 Other properties including Kit Bill Lodge, The Helks and an adjacent residential property lie around 300 to 350 metres east of the extraction area.
- 2.10 The site access is from the north-west on Kellett Road.
- 2.11 The site includes processing operations (crushing and screening) within the extraction void and on the western side of the site and a concrete plant.
- 2.12 The nearest Listed Building to the site is the Grade II listed Birkland Barrow Farmhouse, located around 600 metres to the east.
- 2.13 There are two Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) within 2 kilometres of the site.
- 2.14 Crag Bank SSSI is a 3.7 hectare biological designation located around 1.5 kilometres to the west of the site. Thwaite House Moss SSSI is a 7.25 hectare biological designation approximately 1 kilometre to the south-west of the site.
- 2.15 The Forest of Bowland Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) and the Arnside and Silverdale AONB lie around 1.7 kilometres to the east and 1.8 kilometres to the west respectively.
- 2.16 There are no points of public access into the site at Leapers Wood Quarry. A Public Footpath (PROW ref: 1-24-FP 7) runs north to south along the eastern side of Kit Bill Wood, east of Leapers Wood Quarry reaching Main Road. Here it runs parallel with Main Road before heading east to west (PROW ref: 1-22-FP 5) across the agricultural fields to the south of Back Lane Quarry.
- 2.17 A plan showing the application boundary including the plant site, the extraction area and the nearest residential receptors at which site noise is routinely monitored for Back Lane Quarry is included as Appendix B.



3. EXISTING SITE NOISE LIMITS AND SCOPING OPINION

- 3.1 The site falls within the jurisdiction of Lancashire County Council and is currently operated under a planning permission granted in October 2005 (ref: 01/03/1185 - original planning permission ref 1/86/760).
- 3.2 The planning permission allows for quarrying operations to continue on the site until 19 September 2048 (with restoration to be completed within a year).
- 3.3 The extant planning permission contains the following conditions relating to noise including Condition 21 stipulating site noise limits for routine operations and Condition 25 requiring a scheme and programme of noise monitoring scheme relating to properties from which noise complaints are received:-

“Noise

20. All plant, equipment and machinery used in connection with the operation and maintenance of the site shall be equipped with effective silencing equipment or sound proofing equipment to the standard of design set out in the manufacturers specification and shall be maintained in accordance with that specification at all timesthroughout the development.

21. Noise emitted from the site shall not exceed 55dB LAeq (1 hour) (free field) when measured from any of the following properties at the point closest to the noise source.

- a. Green Meadow NGR 517 700*
- b. Raisbeck NGR 510 700*
- c. 94 Windermere Road NGR 505 696*
- d. Kirk House NGR 524 695*
- e. Helks Wood Farm NGR 521 691*



22. *Notwithstanding condition 21, outside of the hours of 0700 to 2200 hours Monday to Friday (except public holidays), 0700 to 1300 on Saturdays and at any time on Sundays and Public Holidays, noise emitted from the site shall not exceed 42 dB LAeq (1 hour) (free field) as defined in this permission when measured from any of the properties listed in condition 21.*

23. *The noise limits set out in condition 21 above shall not apply during the stripping of soils or overburden on the site, the construction of storage mounds for these materials and their respreading during restoration of the site or the construction of landscape or baffle mounds. Noise from any of these activities shall not exceed 70dB LAeq (1 hour) (free field), as defined in this permission as measured from any of the properties identified in condition 21 at a point closest to the noise source. This condition shall only apply for not more than 20 days in one calendar year unless otherwise agreed in writing by the County Planning Authority. A written record shall be made of the dates that these activities are taking place and shall be made available to the County Planning Authority on request.*

24. *Within six months of the date of this schedule of conditions, details of the types of reversing warning systems to be fitted to all mobile plant used at the site shall be submitted for approval in writing of the County Planning Authority. The scheme shall make provision for non-audible or white noise reversing alarms to be used on mobile plant/machinery on the site. The approved reversing alarms shall be installed on all existing plant and equipment within 6 months of the approval and to all new plant and equipment before it is used on site.*

25. *Within six months of the date of this schedule of conditions a scheme and programme of noise monitoring for the site shall be submitted to the County Planning Authority for approval in writing. The scheme and programme shall provide for the following:*

- a. A programme of noise monitoring at the properties listed in condition 21 above or any other property from which a noise complaint is received.;*



- b. The equipment to be used and the information to be recorded including weather conditions, activities taking place at the site and the plant and equipment being used during the monitoring period;*
- c. The frequency of monitoring;*
- d. Details for the reporting of results to the County Planning Authority;*
- e. Procedures to be adopted if noise levels are recorded above the levels identified in conditions 21 and 22 above;*
- f. A timescale for the implementation of the monitoring scheme.”*

3.4 A scoping report relating to this application was prepared by Heaton Planning and submitted to Lancashire County Council in September 2021 and contained the following text relating to noise:

“5.7 Noise

Existing Baseline

5.7.1 Current noise levels from the site are controlled by conditions 20 to 25 of the 2005 ROMP (01/03/1185). Noise levels must not exceed 55dB LAeq(1 hour) when measured from the following properties, as stipulated by condition 21:

Green Meadow

Raisbeck

94 Windermere Road

Kirk House

Helks Wood Farm

5.7.2 A Noise Monitoring Scheme is in place as per the requirements of condition 25.

Potential Effects

5.7.3 It is considered that the existing noise control measures which have been implemented by the applicant will ensure that any future residual noise impact is adequately mitigated.



Scope of Assessment

5.7.4 An assessment will be prepared setting out the existing methods and procedures adopted by the applicant to minimise the impact of noise arising from the existing operations.”

- 3.5 In response to this scoping report, Lancashire County Council provided the following advice in a scoping opinion document dated 20 December 2021:

“Noise

The proposals in your scoping report dealing with noise impacts are considered to be acceptable subject to the following comments:

- The scoping report states that the assessment will set out the existing methods and procedures adopted to minimise the impact of noise arising from the existing operations. There is no mention of any survey proposals to establish back ground noise levels at the potentially affected properties and then to assess likely impacts from the quarry operation. The methodology contained within BS4242:2014+A1:2019 'Methods for rating and assessing industrial and commercial sound' should be used.*
- The existing noise limits would not assist with satisfactorily determining whether noise from the proposals in the EIA scoping report would meet current NPPF and Noise Policy Statement for England objectives. Furthermore, since the original planning conditions were imposed, the technical guidance has changed. The existing planning condition includes a noise limitation which is expressed only in terms of a 55 dB(A) limit. There is an argument that this may not comply with the policy on noise from mineral workings in the Planning Practice Guidance. This states that noise from mineral workings should not exceed the background level by more than 10 dB(A) and that in any event the 55 dB(A) level should not be exceeded. Therefore, the background noise +10 dB(A) is the preferred approach. The assessment should consider whether that limit can be complied with.*



• *There are no conditions on hours of working on the existing permission except in relation to blasting and soil stripping. It is assumed that the operator will wish to retain this flexibility. If this is the case, issues are raised regarding the impacts of night time noise. The assessment should include a survey of background noise at this location so that the potential impacts of night time working can be assessed.”*

- 3.6 The use of BS4142: 1997+A1: 2019 is not appropriate for the assessment of noise from mineral workings and the standard specifically states that it should not be used for activities where other guidance is already in place (such as Planning Practice Guidance – Minerals). However, the standard does provide advice regarding noise measurements and establishing representative background sound levels which can apply to mineral sites.
- 3.7 The advice in Planning Practice Guidance – Minerals (PPGM) does suggest site noise limits as described in the scoping opinion and this is the appropriate means of determining whether a minerals site can be worked within environmentally acceptable levels.
- 3.8 However, in the case of Leapers Wood Quarry, there are already site noise limits in place as permitted until the end of 2048. Although the concern raised by Lancashire County Council would normally be valid for a new application, in this instance, the workings will significantly lower at the time the current permission ends and therefore there will be no adverse noise impact from the deepening of the workings.
- 3.9 It is also relevant that the nearest receptors to Leapers Wood Quarry are reasonably close to the M6 motorway and it is likely that road traffic noise will be the most significant contributor to background sound levels in the area resulting in consistently raised background sound levels, which could result in PPGM site noise levels closer to the existing 55 dB $L_{Aeq, 1 \text{ hour free field}}$ limit.



- 3.10 It is therefore not proposed to alter the existing conditions relating to noise or the site noise limits and this assessment considers those limits to remain valid and consequently the noise impact of the proposals is assessed in the context of those limits and existing site noise levels.
- 3.11 Due to there being no record of complaints regarding noise from the Leapers Wood site, there has been no noise monitoring undertaken as per the noise monitoring scheme.
- 3.12 However, the following noise monitoring location referenced in the planning permission for the Leapers Wood site is used for site noise monitoring for Back Lane Quarry on an annual basis:-
- Helks Wood Farm.



4. REVIEW OF SITE NOISE MONITORING

- 4.1 Although no site noise monitoring has been undertaken for the Leapers Wood Quarry site due to there being no complaints received relating to noise, site noise monitoring has been undertaken at Back Lane Quarry for Aggregate Industries on an annual basis at one of the locations common to the planning conditions for both sites.
- 4.2 Site noise monitoring at the nearest noise sensitive receptors to Back Lane Quarry has been undertaken by Advance Environmental Limited on behalf of the operator for around twenty years.
- 4.3 The measurements for Back Lane Quarry were undertaken at the monitoring locations in the presence of a suitably trained technician whilst the quarry was fully operational and were made in accordance with the methods outlined in BS 4142: 2014, 'Method for rating industrial and commercial sound' (British Standards Institution) and BS5228-1:2009 + A1:2014, Code of practice for noise and vibration control on construction and open sites. Annex G (British Standards Institution)..
- 4.4 The data from the last three years of noise monitoring data at Helks Wood Farm (one of the receptors away from the M6 motorway) has been summarised in the following table for comparison with the site noise limit.

Location	Measured Noise Level dB LAeq, 15 minutes free field			Site Noise Limit dB LAeq, 1 hour free field
	August 2020	August 2021	July 2022	
Helks Wood	44	42	47	55
Farm	41	44	44	

- 4.5 As can be seen from the table the overall measured noise levels including extraneous noise (LAeq) are well below the site noise limits during all the measurement periods examined.



- 4.6 The Equivalent Continuous Noise Level, $L_{Aeq, T}$, is the preferred unit for assessing noise sources. It is the value of a continuous level that would have equivalent energy to the continuously varying noise over the specified period 'T'. This unit is recommended internationally for the description of environmental noise and is in general use. It is the chosen unit of BS 5228 for Construction and Open site noise; Planning Practice Guidance for Minerals and BS 7445 for the Description and Measurement of Environmental noise.
- 4.7 Observations made by the technician during the surveys indicated that site noise from Back Lane Quarry and Leapers Wood Quarry was inaudible at Helks Wood Farm in 2020. Site noise from Back Lane Quarry was just audible at Helks Wood Farm with Leapers Wood Quarry activity inaudible in 2021. Back Lane Quarry activity was audible at Helks Wood Farm with Leapers Wood Quarry inaudible in 2022.
- 4.8 Based on the ongoing site noise monitoring data, site noise is inaudible from Leapers Wood Quarry and is therefore demonstrated to be well below the site noise limits stipulated in the latest planning permission for the site (which is the same for both sites) as was the cumulative noise level from both sites.



5. CONSIDERATION OF INCREASED DEPTH/BARRIER ATTENUATION

- 5.1 The proposed deepening of the mineral extraction area and amended end date of the site operations do not alter the working area of the site. The site operations will not change and those operations will be no nearer to the nearest noise sensitive receptors than is currently the case.
- 5.2 With the only change from the current scenario being the ground height for the mineral extraction operations, the distance from the ongoing operations to the nearest receptors will only increase.
- 5.3 As well as a small increase in working distance, there is the potential for greater noise attenuation at the receptors due to the working face of the quarry/topography because of the increased path difference.
- 5.4 The calculation of barrier attenuation is mainly based on the path difference between the direct path from source to receptor and the altered path over the barrier. As the depth of working increases, this difference will also increase resulting in greater attenuation.
- 5.5 The calculation method for external noise propagation in BS5228-1:2009+A1:2014 '*Code of practice for noise and vibration control on construction and open sites – Part 1: Noise*' calculates the adjustment for screening/barrier attenuation as follows:

$$10\log_{10}(3+20*\text{PATH DIFFERENCE}^2*\text{OCTAVE BAND DATA}/340)$$

or the upper limit as defined in Figure 3 in BS5228 Annex F.

- 5.6 Due to the depth of working considered in this instance, the limit of the barrier attenuation allowed for in the calculations is reached and therefore it is necessary to examine the influence of an increase in path difference in more general terms.



- 5.7 The path difference is calculated based on Figure 3 in BS5228 Annex F (i.e. the sum of the two slanted distances over the barrier minus the single non-slanted distance without the barrier in place).
- 5.8 The octave band data is that input for the plant item in each band and this is conducted for all bands considered and then summed logarithmically.
- 5.9 Any increase in the depth of working will increase the path difference and result in potentially greater noise attenuation. An increase of up to 75 metres in depth from the permitted floor of the quarry (not the current working level) would result in highly significant barrier attenuation as well as a substantial increase in distance between source and receiver.
- 5.10 As site noise monitoring over the last twenty years has indicated that site noise (even at the top of the mineral) from both sites has complied with the site noise limits throughout the life of the site (with no complaints regarding noise having been received) and working at greater depth will result in potentially greater barrier attenuation for the nearest dwellings to the site, the proposed deepening and continued mineral extraction operations should not constitute an increase in site noise levels or an adverse impact on the dwellings.



6. CUMULATIVE NOISE IMPACT

- 6.1 The Leapers Wood Quarry site is located immediately adjacent to the Back Lane Quarry that is operated by Tarmac Trading Limited sharing a common boundary to the south of Leapers Wood Quarry.
- 6.2 The two sites are separated by a nominal boundary that is proposed to be worked as part of this application and the similar concurrent application by Aggregate Industries for deepening of the workings (and a time extension) at Back Lane Quarry.
- 6.3 Both sites are subject to the same site noise limit of 55 dB LAeq, 1 hour free field and the permissions on noise for the two sites share three common receptors.
- 6.4 Site noise monitoring at Leapers Wood Quarry has not been required over this period as there have been no complaints regarding noise at the site.
- 6.5 Site noise monitoring at Back Lane Quarry demonstrates that those site noise limits have been consistently complied with over the past twenty years.
- 6.6 The site noise monitoring for Back Lane Quarry has shown that the overall measured noise levels (including both sites and extraneous noise such as M6 road traffic noise) are significantly below the site noise limits for both sites.
- 6.7 The applications for both sites do not involve any increase in site operations, no changes to the processing plant site and associated plant items, no changes to the access to either site and no alteration to the operating hours.
- 6.8 As such, the only change in the workings will be the depth of working, with an increase in depth only resulting in lower site noise levels at the nearest dwellings to either site.



6.9 As both sites are satisfying the noise conditions in the permissions that are in place until 2048 with regard to cumulative noise levels and the deeper workings would increase the barrier attenuation and therefore reduce the site noise levels at the nearest dwellings due to mineral extraction, there is expected to be no adverse impact on the nearest noise sensitive receptors to the site from the proposed deepening of both quarries.



7. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

- 7.1 Tarmac Trading Limited is submitting an application for the deepening of existing quarry operations and an extension of time for the quarrying operations to continue until 31 December 2065, with restoration being completed a year later, by 31 December 2066.
- 7.2 The application boundary is not to increase from the area already permitted under the latest planning permission for the site as granted in 2006 site (ref: 01/03/1185) and therefore the workings will be no closer to the nearest dwellings to the site.
- 7.3 As the operations are to continue in the existing extraction area to a greater depth, there will be no requirement for soil stripping or bund formation operations that are considered temporary operations (with a higher site noise limit) in Planning Practice Guidance (Minerals).
- 7.4 The mineral extraction operations and processing operations will not change from the current situation.
- 7.5 Following completion of the mineral extraction works, the void will be restored in accordance with the restoration scheme.
- 7.6 The intention of this assessment is to establish that the noise impact of the continuation of the existing site operations with mineral extraction to a greater depth, would not be expected to generate noise levels at the nearest noise sensitive properties that would exceed the existing site noise limits as required by extant planning permission conditions or increase the current noise levels from the site.
- 7.7 Site noise monitoring data at the nearest residential location where monitoring has been undertaken for Back Lane Quarry has been reviewed to establish the ongoing compliance of site noise with the extant site noise limit.



- 7.8 Consideration of the site plans and the topography to explain why there is a potential increase in noise attenuation due to the greater depth of workings has been included in the assessment to demonstrate that site noise levels will not increase from the current situation.
- 7.9 Site noise monitoring over the last twenty years at Back Lane Quarry has indicated that site noise (even at the top of the mineral) has complied with the site noise limits throughout the life of the site. Allied to this, working at greater depth will result in potentially greater barrier attenuation for the nearest dwellings to the site and therefore the proposed deepening and continued mineral extraction operations should not constitute an increase in site noise levels or an adverse impact on the dwellings.
- 7.10 The site can therefore continue to be worked within environmentally acceptable noise levels.
- 7.11 The cumulative impact of the continuing operations at Leapers Wood Quarry with the operations at the adjacent Aggregate Industries Back Lane Quarry has also been examined and also shown to be of no impact on the current noise levels from both sites.



APPENDICES



Appendix A

Glossary of Acoustic Terms

Decibels dB

Noise levels are measured in decibels. The decibel is the logarithmic ratio of the sound pressure to a reference pressure (2×10^{-5} Pascals). The decibel scale gives a reasonable approximation to the human perception of relative loudness. In terms of human hearing, audible sounds range from the threshold of hearing (0 dB) to the threshold of pain (140 dB).

A-weighted Decibels dB(A)

The 'A'-weighting filter emulates human hearing response for low levels of sound. The filter network is incorporated electronically into sound level meters. Sound pressure levels measured using an 'A'-weighting filter have units of dB(A) which is a single figure value to represent the overall noise level for the entire frequency range.

A change of 3 dB(A) is the smallest change in noise level that is perceptible under normal listening conditions. A change of 10 dB(A) corresponds to a doubling or halving of loudness of the sound. The background noise level in a quiet bedroom may be around 20 –30 dB(A); normal speech conversation around 60 dB(A) at 1 m; noise from a very busy road around 70-80 dB(A) at 10m; the level near a pneumatic drill around 100 dB(A).

Façade Noise Level

Façade noise measurements are those undertaken near to reflective surfaces such as walls, usually at a distance of 1m from the surface. Façade noise levels at 1m from a reflective surface are normally around 3 dB greater than those obtained under freefield conditions.

Freefield Noise Level

Freefield noise measurements are those undertaken away from any reflective surfaces other than the ground.



Frequency Hz

The frequency of a noise is the number of pressure variations per second, and relates to the 'pitch' of the sound. Hertz (Hz) is the unit of frequency and is the same as cycles per second. Normal, healthy human hearing can detect sounds from around 20 Hz to 20 kHz.

Octave and Third-Octave Bands

Two frequencies are said to be an octave apart if the frequency of one is twice the frequency of the other. The octave bandwidth increases as the centre frequency increases. Two frequencies are said to be a third-octave apart if the frequency of one is 1.26 times the other.

There are recognised octave band and third octave band centre frequencies. The octave or third-octave band sound pressure level is determined from the energy of the sound which falls within that particular octave or third octave band.

Equivalent Continuous Sound Pressure Level $L_{Aeq,T}$

The 'A'-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level $L_{Aeq,T}$, is a notional steady level which has the same acoustic energy as the actual fluctuating noise over the same time period T. The $L_{Aeq,T}$ unit is dominated by higher noise levels, for example, the $L_{Aeq,T}$ average of two equal time periods at , for example, 70 dB(A) and 50 dB(A) is not 60 dB(A) but 67 dB(A).

The $L_{Aeq,T}$ unit was commended by the Noise Advisory Council and is the chosen unit of BS5228 for Construction and Open site noise, BS 7445 for the Description and Measurement of Environmental noise.

Maximum Sound Pressure Level L_{AMax}

The L_{AMax} value describes the overall maximum 'A'-weighted sound pressure level over the measurement interval.

Sound Exposure Level L_{AE} or SEL

The sound exposure level is a notional level which contains the same acoustic energy in 1 second as a varying 'A'-weighted noise level over a given period of time. It is normally used to quantify short duration noise events such as aircraft flyover or train bypasses.



Statistical Parameters L_N

In order to cover the time variability aspects, noise can be analysed into various statistical parameters, i.e. the sound level which is exceeded for N% of the time. The most commonly used are the $L_{A01,T}$, $L_{A10,T}$ and the $L_{A90,T}$.

$L_{A01,T}$ is the 'A'-weighted level exceeded for 1% of the time interval T and is often used to give an indication of the upper maximum level of a fluctuating noise signal.

$L_{A10,T}$ is the 'A'-weighted level exceeded for 10% of the time interval T and is often used to describe road traffic noise. It gives an indication of the upper level of a fluctuating noise signal. For high volumes of continuous traffic, the $L_{A10,T}$ unit is typically 2–3 dB(A) above the $L_{Aeq,T}$ value over the same period.

$L_{A90,T}$ is the 'A'-weighted level exceeded for 90% of the time interval T, and is often used to describe the underlying background noise level.



Appendix B
Site Plans Showing Application Boundary, Phases and Nearest Receptors

Legend

- Site Boundary
- Back Lane Quarry




Site Name:
Leapers Wood Quarry

Drawing Name:
Location Plan

FINAL










Drawn By:	R.Duncan	Scale @ A3:	1:25,000
Date:	October 2023	Drawing Number:	KD.BKLN.1.D.004



Leapers Wood Quarry

Location Plan

Legend

-  Application Boundary
-  Other Land Under the Ownership of the Applicant
-  Back Lane Quarry
-  Surrounding Woodland
-  Buildings & Roads
-  Disturbed Land - Leapers Wood & Back Lane Quarries
-  Existing Overburden Tip / Landform
-  Contours (5m Intervals) & Spot Heights (m AOD)
-  Public Rights of Way (PROW)



Site Name:
Leapers Wood Quarry

Drawing Name:
Current Situation

Drawn By:
R.Duncan

Scale @ A3:
1:5,000

January 2024
Drawing Number:
KD.BKLN.1.D.009



© Crown copyright and database rights 2015 Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2015 / Based upon Natural England Digital Data © Natural England Copyright 2015 / Contains British Geological Survey materials © NERC 2015 / Contains www.rowmaps.com materials / Land & Property Services Intellectual Property is protected by Crown Copyright and is reproduced with the permission of Land & Property Services under delegated authority from the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown Copyright and database right (2811 2015) / Contains, or is based on, information supplied by the Forestry Commission / © Crown Copyright, Department of Infrastructure. Isle of Man / © Copyright National Grid Transco

